

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,156		07/11/2003	Jean Yee-Mei Yang	0180117	4060	
25700	7590	06/01/2005		EXAMINER		
		JAMI LLP	TRINH, HOA B			
26522 LA A MISSION		DA AVENUE, SU CA 92691	ITE 360	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,	,		2814		
				DATE MAN ED 06/01/200	-	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			O
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/618,156	YANG ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Vikki H. Trinh	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing depends of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amend otice of Appeal (with appeal liance with 37 CFR 1.114. late of the final rejection. isory Action, or (2) the date set	Iment, affidavit, or other evide al fee) in compliance with 37 C The reply must be filed within forth in the final rejection, whichever	nce, which FR 41.31; or one of the
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compositing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any experience of the property of the property of the state of the property of the propert	which the petition under 37 CFI and the corresponding amount o stutory period for reply originally after the mailing date of the fir collaboration with 37 CFR 41.37	R 1.136(a) and the appropriate exter f the fee. The appropriate extension set in the final Office action; or (2) and rejection, even if timely filed, may must be filed within two monti	nsion fee have n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) reduce any hs of the date
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	be filed within the time perion but prior to the date of filin nsideration and/or search ( w); ter form for appeal by mat corresponding number of the	od set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(and set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(and a brief, will not be entered be (see NOTE below); rerially reducing or simplifying finally rejected claims.	ecause the issues for
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ol>	): Ilowable if submitted in a s    will not be entered, or	separate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the sufficient reasons.	d sufficient reasons why the a Notice of Appeal, but provercome all rejections und y and was not earlier present of the status of the claim	ne affidavit or other evidence is fior to the date of filing a brief, ler appeal and/or appellant fail ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 ns after entry is below or attach	s necessary will <u>not</u> be is to provide a ). ned.

the new rejection in the final Office Action is necessitated by an amendment dated 02/22/05, since applicant amended the claims in the amendment. In particular, applicant amended "structure" to "transistor" was not just a mere change; it was a substantial change in meaning for the claims. Thus, the rejection was made made final. In the rejection, Liao lacks the teaching of the tunable dielectric layer and Matsumoto cures Liao by teaching a tunable dielectric layer. Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-11 and 22-29 is proper.

12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
13. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

HOWARD WEISS

**PRIMARY EXAMINER**